Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittals
Pre-Application
Pre\_App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards



# **Development Application**



	the same of the common to the same of the	Application Type:	,				
		s) of Application(s) you are requesting					
Zoning    Text Amendment (TA)	Development Revi		Signs Circ Brown (AAS)				
Rezoning (ZN)		Review (Major) (DR) Review (Minor) (SA)	+-	Master Sign Program (MS)			
In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modific		Oth	Community Sign District (MS)			
Conditional Use Permit (UP)	Historic Prope						
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)		+ -	Annexation/De-annexation (AN)			
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions		+ -	General Plan Amendment (GP)			
Special Exception (SX)	Condominium	Conversion	<b>X</b>	In-Lieu Parking (IP)			
☐ Variance (BA)	Perimeter Exc		-	Abandonment (AB)			
Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correctio		Oth	er Application Type Not Listed			
	1d water	nykevision					
1	C CTV -	0 11 1	0	13			
Property's Address:	F. 4 St.	Scotleda	Ve ,	9 42			
Property's Current Zoning District Desig	nation:	D/RH-ZI	0				
The property owner shall designate an ag for the City regarding this Development a information to the owner and the owner	Application. The agent/						
Owner: 2008 Covolo Properties LLC Agent/Applicant: Robert Belland							
Company: a Texas Limited	I lightlife comme	Company: Gold	mo	er Backward, LLC			
Address: 57/8 Westhermer Roed 2100 Address: \$ 15240 N. Chubrote Pt #10							
Phone: 713,800,9712 F	Phone: 490.203.8661 Fax: 480.502.36						
E-mail: gpenzell@ar	E-mail: Cardle wood@ ME. Com						
Designer: 3rd Story Arche	Engineer: Kaper Glessvoor						
Company: Lick Doceon	esty	Company: ACE Solutions					
Address: 16074 N. 78 ThSt Zrd Flow Address: 609 Calgary Court thy Post Falls:							
Phone: 480,607,387Fax: 490	1.626.5138	Phone: 208 • 777 · 1854 Fax: 9533					
E-mail: VICK@3rdsfor	74. Com	E-mail: roger	96	ACE Solutions. Pro			
Please indicate in the checkbox below th	e requested review me						
<ul> <li>This is not required for the follow applications<sup>1</sup> will be reviewed in</li> </ul>	ving Development Appli	cation types: AN, AB, BA	, II, G	P, TA, PE and ZN. These			
Fundanced Application Review.	I hereby authorize the City of Scattedale to review this application utilizing the Exhanced						
I Standard Application Review.	I hereby authorize the City of Scattedale to review this application utilizing the Standard						
2				<del></del>			
Owner Signature		Agent/Applican	+Sign:	ature			
Official Use Only Submittal Date		Development Applicat		(12) 00			

**Planning and Development Services** 

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 3

Revision Date: 05/18/2015

# **Development Application**

# **Review Methodologies**



#### **Review Methodologies**

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

#### 1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting,
   etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

#### 2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

#### Note:

1. Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.

#### **Planning and Development Services**

# **Development Application**

## **Arizona Revised Statues Notice**



#### §9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.



# The Goldwater

# Narrative for Abandonment of a Portion of Fourth Street

# **Proposal**

The proposal is for the abandonment of a portion of the Fourth Street right-of-way in a short cul-de-sac east of 70<sup>th</sup> Street in the southwest quadrant of the Downtown area. The proposed abandonment would be a 10-feet wide strip on the north side of the street between 70<sup>th</sup> Street and a cul-de-sac bubble roughly 180 feet long. The total area of abandonment is just under 1,700 square feet, which would be a nominal 3% increase in the net area of the site.

The purpose of the abandonment is to facilitate reasonable circulation and orientation of parking areas, particularly below grade. The useable portion of the overall development site is basically a triangular shaped area with curved sides. This creates an inefficient area for providing vehicular circulation and parking access. The abandonment allows for reasonable on-site circulation and a more effective use of the site.

There are only three parcel that access this short segment of street, the subject property on the north side and a small townhouse neighborhood and small office on the south side. There is no potential of the street ever extending further to the east and connecting with any other street.

# History

Regarding the Fourth Street right-of-way, there have been numerous actions taken over an extended period of time. The south half was dedicated by the Orange Acres Subdivision in June of 1945. Prior to this action, the street existed as an un-dedicated roadway. The north half, although being used previously as a road, was dedicated by the Scottsdale Unified School District to the City of Scottsdale in January of 1969. For a portion of the late 1950s and early 1960s the post office for Scottsdale was located just southeast of the current site, therefore School Road (later 4<sup>th</sup> Street) was a regularly traveled street.

The abandonment which lead to the current cul-de-sac configuration was done through case 1-AB-1994 and recorded in October of 1994. This was done by the city as part of an overall re-positioning of the former Loloma School property for future development. The primary goal at the time was to establish the right-of-way for the Goldwater Blvd. couplet roadway.

The precise configuration of 4<sup>th</sup> Street was created as a specific dedication with the recording of the Loloma II subdivision plat (a one lot subdivision) in October of 2002. The subject property was sold by the City of Scottsdale in October of 2003.

#### Context

This street is not identified on the General Plan or specifically on any other plans and serves only local transportation purposes.

# The Goldwater

### Narrative for Abandonment of a Portion of Fourth Street

# **Proposal**

The proposal is for the abandonment of a portion of the Fourth Street right-of-way in a short cul-de-sac east of 70<sup>th</sup> Street in the southwest quadrant of the Downtown area. The proposed abandonment would be a 10-feet wide strip on the north side of the street between 70<sup>th</sup> Street and a cul-de-sac bubble roughly 180 feet long. The total area of abandonment is just under 1,700 square feet, which would be a nominal 3% increase in the net area of the site.

The purpose of the abandonment is to facilitate reasonable circulation and orientation of parking areas, particularly below grade. The useable portion of the overall development site is basically a triangular shaped area with curved sides. This creates an inefficient area for providing vehicular circulation and parking access. The abandonment allows for reasonable on-site circulation and a more effective use of the site.

There are only three parcel that access this short segment of street, the subject property on the north side and a small townhouse neighborhood and small office on the south side. There is no potential of the street ever extending further to the east and connecting with any other street.

# History

Regarding the Fourth Street right-of-way, there have been numerous actions taken over an extended period of time. The south half was dedicated by the Orange Acres Subdivision in June of 1945. Prior to this action, the street existed as an un-dedicated roadway. The north half, although being used previously as a road, was dedicated by the Scottsdale Unified School District to the City of Scottsdale in January of 1969. For a portion of the late 1950s and early 1960s the post office for Scottsdale was located just southeast of the current site, therefore School Road (later 4<sup>th</sup> Street) was a regularly traveled street.

The abandonment which lead to the current cul-de-sac configuration was done through case 1-AB-1994 and recorded in October of 1994. This was done by the city as part of an overall re-positioning of the former Loloma School property for future development. The primary goal at the time was to establish the right-of-way for the Goldwater Blvd. couplet roadway.

The precise configuration of 4<sup>th</sup> Street was created as a specific dedication with the recording of the Loloma II subdivision plat (a one lot subdivision) in October of 2002. The subject property was sold by the City of Scottsdale in October of 2003.

#### Context

This street is not identified on the General Plan or specifically on any other plans and serves only local transportation purposes.



# Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications





The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more of the development applications are related to another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications related to the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

	Development Application Types		
Please check the appropriate box	of the types of applications that you are re	questing to submit concurrently	
Zoning	Development Review		
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	☐ Development Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)	
Rezoning (ZN)	☐ Development Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)	
In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modification (WM)	Other	
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Property (HP) ☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN		
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP) General Plan Amendment (GP		
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)	
☐ Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium Conversion	Abandonment (AB)	
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed	
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction/Revision		
Owner: 2008 Condo	Properties, LLC a Tex	20 1/2	
Company:			
	1 eyer, 56 2100	Houghon TX	
Phone: 713 , 500, 97	7/2 Fax:		
E-mail: 9- penzell			
applications are processed at the property arising in connection with the concurrent pertaining to Concurrent Applications; 4 concurrent development application that City review(s) of the development applicat	y signature below, I acknowledge and agr owner's risk; 2) to hold the City harmless of development applications; 3) to the City of ) to placing a development application on is related to an another development applica- tions, one or more of the development applica-	fall cost, expense, claims, or other liability Scottsdale's Substantive Policy Statement hold in order to continue processing a ation; and 5) that upon completion of the ation(s) may not be approved.	
Property owner (Print Name):	of A. Ballard Title: 4	atte. Agrent	
Sign	nature	Date: 3, 22 , 18	
Official Use Only:	Submitta	al Date:	
Request: Approved or Denied			
Staff Name (Print):			
Staff Signature:	Date:		

#### **Planning and Development Services**

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Page 1 of 1

Revision Date: 02/02/2015



# Affidavit of Authority to Act as the Property Owner



1.	. This affidavit concerns the follow	ving parcel of lar	nd:	
	description.)	Affactual then write the lowise, write "se	APN -	subdivision name, and the plat's recording degal description" and attach a legal
2.	authority from the owner to sign	i this aπidavit or	the owner	cointed agent of the owner of the land and have is behalf. If the land has more than one owner her in this affidavit refers to all of them.
3.	I have authority from the owner reviews, zoning map amendment plats, lot splits, lot ties, use per every description involving the little splits.	to act for the owents, general plants, building perand, or involving lications, dedicate	ner before an amendn ermits and adjacent of tions, paym	the City of Scottsdale with regard to any and a nents, development variances, abandonments other land use regulatory or related matters of or nearby lands in which the owner has (or matters, assurances, decisions, agreements, logge
4.	The City of Scottsdale is author	ized to rely on elivers to the Dir	my authorit	by as described in this affidavit until three works as Scottsdale Planning & Development Services
5.	I will immediately deliver to th	e Director of th	e City of	Scottsdale Planning & Development Services p of the land or in my authority to act for the
6.	If more than one person signs the in this affidavit, and each of them	nis affidavit, each	h of them, a	acting alone, shall have the authority described tsdale the authority of the others.
7.	Under penalty of perjury, I warr complete. I understand that any invalidate approvals or other ad development of the land, and ma	ant and represed error or incomp ctions taken by any expose me an	ent to the Control of the City of the owner owner of the owner of the owner owne	City of Scottsdale that this affidavit is true and nation in this affidavit or any applications may f Scottsdale, may otherwise delay or preventer to other liability. I understand that people who for the owner at public meetings or in other city
N	Name (printed)	Date		Signature
-			_, 20	
-	Robert A. Ballard	1.15.	_, 20	
	O CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		_, 20 <u>10</u>	
			_	

Planning and Development Services
7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088

From: Gary Penzell gpenzell@arruth.com

Subject: Re: Zoning Authority Letter Date: January 16, 2018 at 9:38 AM

To: ROBERT BALLARD candlewood@me.com

Cc: Madeleine Ferris mferris@arruth.com, Geoffrey Turbow turbow@levrose.com



Mr. Ballard: The renderings are very impressive and we look forward to your continued successful work with the City. I have reviewed the attached affidavit and it does not appear that we have to sign it as long as you have our permission to sign it and proceed. Recognizing that we have not made any studies or inquiries and have no independent knowledge regarding the survey, traffic, storm water, neighborhood response, permits, legal documents, compliance with zoning, legal and/or any other matters or requirements, we do hereby authorize you, subject to the terms of our purchase and sale agreement, to sign the attached affidavit on behalf of the owner and proceed accordingly.

Gary M. Penzell Arruth Associates, LLC 5718 Westheimer, Suite 2100 Houston, TX 77057 713.800.9712 gpenzell@arruth.com

On 1/15/2018 3:33 PM, ROBERT BALLARD wrote:

Dear Mr. Penzell,

Cordially,

Next week we intend to file the final request for zoning processing. Traffic Study, ALTA survey, storm water report, neighborhood meetings and meetings with Council/Mayor/PlanningZoning Comm. are completed and all signs indicate success. We have located our financial resources and have executed agreements with them. The new venture will be known as Goldwater Condominiums, L.L.C.

In order for us to submit for final request for zoning we will need your authority to formally file the application. Please find enclosed the form required by the City which the owner gives us authority to submit the planning effort for zoning. Also attached is the ALTA survey for the property with legal description.

Prompt attention to execution will be greatly appreciated. Also attached are rendered plans and site/plate diagrams

Robert Ballard